



# Recreational Trails Program: Report on State Trail Projects



**COALITION  
FOR  
RECREATIONAL  
TRAILS**

1225 New York Avenue, NW  
Suite 450  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
202-682-9530  
FAX 202-682-9529

For the Federal Highway Administration by the  
Coalition for Recreational Trails

**Recreational Trails Program**  
**Report on State Trail Projects**

**April 2005**

**For the Federal Highway Administration by the  
Coalition for Recreational Trails**  
**(Order No. DTFH61-02-C-00024, Requisition No. 68-01-4092)**

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest in information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof. The contents of this report reflect the views of the contractor, who is responsible for the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official policy of the Department of Transportation. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

**Recreational Trails Program  
Report on State Trail Projects  
April 2005**

**Table of Contents**

	Page
Executive Summary	3
Background	3
Database Findings	5
Trail Projects and Funding: 1993-2003	5
Trail Project Work Descriptions	7
Maintenance Project Funding	7
Construction Project Funding	9
Projects by Trail Use Category	10
Nonmotorized Trail Project Funding	11
Motorized Trail Project Funding	12
Education Project Funding	13

# RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM DATABASE PROJECT

## Executive Summary

In 1999, the Coalition for Recreational Trails (CRT), working in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), developed a database of State trail projects that had received funding from the Recreational Trails Program (RTP) since the program's inception. The RTP was first part of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA), and then part of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (TEA-21), in 1998. In July 2000, again in cooperation with the FHWA, CRT initiated an update of the database. In October 2001, FHWA and CRT entered into a three-year agreement, with the American Recreation Coalition acting as CRT's agent, to continue the database project on a more systematic basis. As part of this most recent effort, reports were prepared for the FHWA in March 2002, October 2002, and May 2004. This April 2005 report updates those previous reports, adding 1,027 projects reported for 2003 by 48 States, plus the District of Columbia, bringing the total to 7,665 projects. The total RTP funding now reported has reached \$245,752,225 and has been matched by \$226,013,413 in other funding. The information contained in this latest report has also been compiled into a searchable database that is accessible on the Internet at [www.funoutdoors.info/rtphome.html](http://www.funoutdoors.info/rtphome.html).

According to this latest report, the leading use of RTP funds – 42% – is trail construction or development, which continues the trend observed in earlier reports. The gap between RTP spending on trail construction or development and spending on trail maintenance has increased, as 74% more in RTP funds – a difference of \$57.6 million – has been directed toward those types of projects, up slightly from the 73% gap in the previous report. Hiking and walking trails continue to be the focus of more projects than other trails, with hiking's share now at 60% (down from 61% for 2002) and walking at 53% (no change from 2002). Seventy-five percent of projects can be clearly identified as benefiting motorized and/or nonmotorized trail uses. The ratio of nonmotorized to motorized projects is unchanged at 2.7:1.

Each State is allowed to use up to 5% of its RTP funds for educational programs that promote trail-related safety and environmental protection. However, the States continue to focus on other priorities, reporting that 3% (243) of their projects were educational. For projects reported through 2002, the percentage was similarly low when 205 projects were reported from a total of 6,650.

## Background

A Federal assistance program for recreational trail construction, renovation and maintenance was created under the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA). Under the program, known initially as the National Recreational Trails Funding Program, funds were allocated to all States and the District of Columbia during only three of the legislation's initial six years (a total of \$37.5 million) as well as during the transitional period of October 1997 to June 1998.

The Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (TEA-21) amended the program – now known as the Recreational Trails Program (RTP) – most notably by significantly increasing

funds apportioned to the States (reaching \$50 million annually for the last four of the legislation's six years) and providing contract authority for the program.

The legislation establishes requirements for project eligibility but provides substantial flexibility to the States on project selection. Presently, there is no unified reporting process from the States to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), which administers the program, on use of the funds. It should be noted, however, that most States have substantial, though not uniform, information available to the public on use of RTP funds.

The Coalition for Recreational Trails (CRT), representing all major national trail interests, has taken an active role in the RTP since its inception and shares FHWA's interest in ensuring that the program is efficient, operates in full compliance with the law, and is understood by all interests. CRT regards it as essential that RTP projects can be identified and evaluated by the Administration, the Congress, and program advocates. The increase in funding for RTP approved as part of TEA-21 demonstrated Congressional support for trails programs, but this support will be sustained only if sufficient accomplishments can be demonstrated. Moreover, FHWA has a need to monitor projects for compliance with statutory direction.

For these reasons, in 1999, CRT worked cooperatively with FHWA and the States to collect initial information on projects funded under RTP since the program's beginning. The data requested included project date, location and description, contact name, amount of RTP funding and other funding, types of trail uses, the Congressional district involved, and project highlights. All the States and the District of Columbia reported information, in varying levels of detail. CRT compiled this information into a database, which it made available to FHWA, trail administrators, and major national trail organizations. A report highlighting key information from the database was prepared and submitted to FHWA. As part of that report, CRT recommended that the data-collection effort be continued.

In July 2000, again in cooperation with FHWA, CRT contacted the States to obtain information on RTP trail projects undertaken since the initial request for data in 1999. By December, 47 States had responded with data on new 1999 and 2000 projects and 16 States provided updated information regarding earlier projects. Arizona, Florida, Ohio and the District of Columbia did not submit information because they had not allocated funding in those years. In October 2001, a new three-year effort to update the database was initiated by CRT under a contract between the FHWA and the American Recreation Coalition. Reports published in March and October 2002 included 4,780 and 5,572 projects, respectively. As part of the contract, beginning with the October 2002 report, data have also been compiled into a searchable database that is accessible on the Internet at <http://www.funoutdoors.info/rtphome.html>. In addition to reviewing the basic findings summarized in the following tables, those accessing the database on the Internet can search for projects by specific criteria, including State, type of project, type of trail activity and level of project funding.

In the Spring of 2003, CRT again contacted the States to obtain information on RTP projects undertaken in 2002. The total number of projects reported through 2002 reached 6,650. Additionally, CRT requested that the States submit corrections for past projects that had been altered (*e.g.*, funding amounts changed, project cancelled) since the project information was originally submitted to CRT. In November 2003, CRT made available a Web-based system allowing States to view and edit their projects' records in the database.

Beginning in late 2003 and throughout much of 2004, the database was updated again to include projects for 2003. Forty-eight States and the District of Columbia submitted reports of 1,027 additional projects and continued to correct data previously submitted. Because of these updated records, numbers of projects and RTP funding amounts for previous years (1993-2002) do not match those numbers presented in previous versions of this report.

## Database Findings

By November 2004, 48 States and the District of Columbia had submitted data regarding their use of Recreational Trails Program (RTP) funds in 2003. Only Delaware and Louisiana have not submitted data for 2003. Total trail project funding reported now includes 2003 projects and totals \$245,752,225, an increase of \$44,007,106 over the total reported for the first 10 years of the program. The number of projects reported increased from 6,650 to 7,665. In addition, the States reported that an amount equivalent to almost 92% of the RTP funding level – \$226,013,413 – had been provided by other sources, including Federal agencies (e.g., the USDA Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management), States, towns, counties, and trail clubs (e.g., mountain biking groups, equestrian councils, and snowmobiler associations).

### RTP Database Projects and Funding 1993 - 2003

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
AK	24	161	\$2,608,699	\$2,637,156
AL	21	142	\$5,987,060	\$1,785,819
AR	34	167	\$5,305,637	\$2,666,053
AZ	28	35	\$947,288	\$4,982,299
CA	11	162	\$12,096,145	\$6,945,645
CO	26	142	\$5,334,798	\$12,986,787
CT	29	164	\$2,960,899	\$1,624,456
DC	2	12	\$2,284,402	\$579,575
DE	0	33	\$1,320,100	\$2,477,865
FL	3	85	\$5,418,625	\$4,255,986
GA	15	85	\$6,482,685	\$4,320,967
HI	6	480	\$3,678,042	\$1,165,438
IA	5	42	\$4,658,385	\$3,231,620
ID	26	221	\$4,182,883	\$9,805,579
IL	17	109	\$6,975,597	\$3,917,340
IN	5	38	\$4,207,004	\$2,086,375
KS	12	150	\$4,946,520	\$3,942,945
KY	22	169	\$4,151,573	\$5,126,069
LA	0	96	\$3,323,759	\$1,354,502
MA	30	117	\$2,027,486	\$2,355,891
MD	32	236	\$5,318,525	\$5,373,525
ME	31	185	\$3,479,055	\$2,267,518
MI	12	103	\$8,305,301	\$5,859,908
MN	24	142	\$4,935,420	\$11,779,828
MO	15	112	\$5,518,012	\$9,443,646
MS	12	79	\$4,202,279	\$789,164
MT	56	286	\$3,963,111	\$2,049,271
NC	41	230	\$7,447,174	\$11,060,604

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
ND	15	111	\$3,297,090	\$898,254
NE	7	58	\$3,771,539	\$3,417,952
NH	45	270	\$2,979,484	\$3,115,514
NJ	38	295	\$4,154,496	\$5,444,722
NM	11	68	\$3,923,604	\$1,810,545
NV	29	113	\$3,240,439	\$2,511,166
NY	21	154	\$6,432,068	\$6,295,119
OH	16	123	\$7,312,406	\$6,935,117
OK	13	121	\$4,532,168	\$2,935,010
OR	19	168	\$4,199,856	\$7,640,325
PA	25	200	\$8,169,903	\$5,635,714
RI	11	71	\$2,162,279	\$3,573,782
SC	6	109	\$2,609,225	\$1,238,854
SD	36	149	\$4,500,016	\$2,365,481
TN	29	114	\$6,260,701	\$2,228,644
TX	26	283	\$12,945,553	\$7,703,811
UT	24	134	\$4,711,778	\$4,502,298
VA	22	161	\$6,527,301	\$3,608,259
VT	14	280	\$2,939,862	\$9,025,176
WA	34	208	\$6,068,658	\$9,206,529
WI	21	236	\$5,480,293	\$5,696,428
WV	8	98	\$3,267,144	\$1,188,100
WY	18	158	\$4,199,898	\$2,164,782
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1027</b>	<b>7665</b>	<b>\$245,752,225</b>	<b>\$226,013,413</b>

The trail projects reported were varied and included: building new trails and adding trail connections; building restrooms; providing water fountains; developing and implementing educational programs; maintaining trails, resurfacing trails treads; providing accessibility for mobility-impaired persons; and more. The following table represents a categorization of the different projects reported. The percentages shown reflect the percentage of all projects that reported trail project descriptions. The leading use of funds, by a substantial margin, in both the current and previous reports was trail construction or development. The percentages reported for each category changed very little from the previous year's report

## RTP Database Trail Project Work by Project Category 1993 - 2003

*For 6410 Projects that Reported Project Descriptions*

Description of Work Done	1993-2002 Cumulative		1993-2003 Cumulative	
Acquire Land	73	1%	93	1%
Administrative	21	<1%	25	<1%
Bridge Construction Or Renovation	655	12%	747	12%
Brochures	74	1%	82	1%
Educational	204	4%	243	4%
Maps	69	1%	77	1%
Parking Lots	255	5%	313	5%
Restroom Facilities	197	4%	234	4%
Signs Purchased and Installation	658	12%	820	13%
Trail Construction or Development	2301	42%	2713	42%
Trail Equipment Purchased	538	10%	658	10%
Trail Grooming	220	4%	257	4%
Trail Improvements	887	16%	1102	17%
Trail Maintenance	784	14%	946	15%
Trail Renovation And Relocation	1140	21%	1327	21%
Trailhead Work	363	7%	420	7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5441</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>6410</b>	<b>100%</b>

A broader definition of trail maintenance was used for the following table, which includes projects reported as renovations, improvements, and grooming, as well as maintenance. The 2,714 projects reported with those descriptions accounted for \$78 million in RTP funding and another \$75 million in matching funding.

## RTP Database Maintenance Project Funding 1993 - 2003

*Including Maintenance, Improvement, Renovation and Grooming Projects*

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
AK	8	82	\$1,333,069	\$1,681,900
AL	3	32	\$1,124,131	\$383,607
AR	11	33	\$1,091,347	\$593,850
AZ	25	27	\$175,116	\$1,904,824
CA	3	61	\$4,524,575	\$2,154,844
CO	20	67	\$2,234,203	\$5,832,483
CT	11	63	\$811,150	\$285,092
DC	2	6	\$1,431,150	\$319,550
DE	0	18	\$616,900	\$899,515
FL	1	21	\$1,030,678	\$690,178
GA	4	24	\$1,496,677	\$508,057
HI	0	2	\$704,049	\$429,442
IA	1	16	\$1,077,314	\$321,542



State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
ID	10	121	\$2,286,041	\$2,154,493
IL	12	60	\$3,272,152	\$2,076,208
IN	1	6	\$761,870	\$150,965
KS	2	30	\$632,703	\$425,931
KY	7	59	\$1,302,889	\$2,180,112
LA	0	4	\$158,012	\$37,022
MA	10	43	\$852,609	\$1,127,660
MD	4	68	\$1,402,656	\$1,372,656
ME	11	78	\$1,659,970	\$894,756
MI	7	60	\$4,101,354	\$3,045,377
MN	7	62	\$1,610,438	\$3,997,497
MO	1	26	\$1,120,601	\$1,148,380
MS	2	11	\$804,500	\$143,500
MT	25	163	\$2,001,031	\$1,081,746
NC	9	52	\$1,507,432	\$1,788,226
ND	4	30	\$795,036	\$222,816
NE	1	11	\$301,117	\$124,078
NH	13	119	\$1,136,566	\$1,086,955
NJ	23	124	\$1,694,430	\$1,896,696
NM	0	12	\$473,233	\$195,752
NV	13	31	\$918,277	\$665,101
NY	9	13	\$594,027	\$285,358
OH	8	43	\$1,672,149	\$1,061,854
OK	4	33	\$1,241,536	\$657,282
OR	4	61	\$1,474,944	\$3,600,887
PA	12	109	\$4,210,321	\$3,094,646
RI	2	24	\$410,572	\$484,953
SC	2	22	\$815,920	\$239,650
SD	16	47	\$1,211,898	\$502,115
TN	6	30	\$1,428,150	\$482,880
TX	8	102	\$3,768,369	\$2,219,370
UT	7	32	\$1,021,690	\$1,014,440
VA	7	59	\$2,089,613	\$1,012,899
VT	5	154	\$1,744,151	\$7,404,636
WA	21	116	\$3,705,848	\$6,486,507
WI	11	132	\$2,621,257	\$2,684,728
WV	1	26	\$723,083	\$293,356
WY	10	89	\$2,917,995	\$1,752,359
<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>2714</b>	<b>\$78,094,799</b>	<b>\$75,098,731</b>

While the quantity of projects incorporating trail construction versus trail maintenance is 18.5% higher (3,217 vs. 2,714), the total amount of funding for trail construction is 70% higher than the amount used for trail maintenance funding. As would be expected, the average per-project cost for construction projects is markedly higher than for maintenance projects: \$81,192 vs. \$56,446. Since 2002, the per-project average costs for both construction and maintenance projects have increased somewhat, from \$79,832 and \$53,299, respectively.

## RTP Database Construction Project Funding 1993 – 2003

*Including Trail Construction and Bridge Construction & Renovation*

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
AK	9	50	\$826,095	\$726,130
AL	19	108	\$4,626,555	\$1,367,894
AR	30	108	\$3,994,265	\$1,822,531
AZ	1	5	\$387,251	\$1,399,526
CA	1	71	\$5,688,398	\$4,431,410
CO	7	66	\$3,826,974	\$11,856,574
CT	7	70	\$1,576,454	\$1,196,487
DC	0	5	\$561,502	\$161,475
DE	0	16	\$776,450	\$877,350
FL	2	58	\$3,958,197	\$3,180,858
GA	13	53	\$4,411,241	\$3,061,565
HI	0	2	\$704,049	\$429,442
IA	1	17	\$2,520,018	\$2,894,886
ID	3	53	\$1,240,299	\$1,456,457
IL	5	43	\$3,958,276	\$2,844,026
IN	4	31	\$3,505,586	\$1,550,976
KS	7	79	\$3,130,237	\$2,803,274
KY	19	127	\$3,460,863	\$3,894,602
LA	0	63	\$2,498,801	\$759,322
MA	11	46	\$916,427	\$943,992
MD	11	87	\$2,367,622	\$2,452,622
ME	19	70	\$1,490,111	\$1,025,989
MI	6	43	\$4,550,061	\$2,840,650
MN	4	56	\$2,279,281	\$5,728,709
MO	9	67	\$3,643,375	\$7,406,137
MS	11	45	\$2,628,255	\$537,264
MT	19	78	\$1,429,064	\$757,210
NC	23	142	\$4,902,509	\$8,317,278
ND	6	54	\$1,645,217	\$474,839
NE	6	36	\$2,971,838	\$3,034,083
NH	18	115	\$1,179,639	\$913,534
NJ	15	87	\$1,446,135	\$2,727,240
NM	11	37	\$2,750,245	\$1,155,011
NV	8	54	\$1,776,985	\$1,182,198
NY	5	7	\$290,640	\$643,510
OH	9	76	\$5,423,473	\$5,653,439
OK	10	77	\$3,108,768	\$1,966,424
OR	11	62	\$2,026,261	\$3,563,609
PA	9	83	\$4,273,878	\$3,128,219
RI	8	33	\$1,180,241	\$2,652,462
SC	4	73	\$1,740,652	\$909,604
SD	11	32	\$823,771	\$925,925
TN	25	82	\$4,760,984	\$1,552,796
TX	19	168	\$8,492,285	\$5,392,966
UT	12	38	\$2,025,502	\$1,969,842

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
VA	10	98	\$4,335,984	\$2,538,631
VT	11	74	\$761,580	\$847,274
WA	7	64	\$2,125,130	\$2,302,389
WI	8	109	\$3,637,874	\$3,975,275
WV	7	70	\$2,519,717	\$920,601
WY	3	29	\$553,076	\$331,273
<b>Total</b>	<b>474</b>	<b>3217</b>	<b>\$135,708,091</b>	<b>\$125,485,780</b>

Trail users on trails that received RTP funding represented every category of trail-related recreation, although data on trail use were not reported for all projects. The table that follows displays those categories. Hiking and walking have been the dominant trail uses reported since the data-collection project was initiated.

### RTP Database Trail Use Categories 1993 – 2003

*For 5,074 Projects with Reported Trail Uses*

Trail Use Category	1993 - 2002 Cumulative		1993 - 2003 Cumulative	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
All Terrain Vehicle	660	15%	771	15%
Bicycling	999	23%	1165	23%
Cross Country Skiing	923	21%	1037	20%
Equestrian	799	18%	917	18%
Four Wheeling	234	5%	268	5%
Hiking	2665	61%	3034	60%
In-Line Skating	373	9%	423	8%
Motorboating	15	<1%	15	<1%
Mountain Biking	1445	33%	1654	33%
Off-Highway Motorcycling	516	12%	609	12%
Paddling	146	3%	173	3%
Running	1438	33%	1666	33%
Skateboarding	168	4%	175	3%
Snowmobiling	792	18%	925	18%
Snowshoeing	356	8%	395	8%
Walking	2328	53%	2667	53%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4365</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5074</b>	<b>100%</b>

As shown by the following two tables, 4,171 of the reported projects can be clearly identified as benefiting nonmotorized trail uses and 1,564 as benefiting motorized trail uses. While there is some overlap where projects accommodate both motorized and nonmotorized trail uses, the trend favoring the expenditure of RTP funds for nonmotorized trail uses is very clear. The average per-project cost of projects benefiting nonmotorized trail use is also higher than for projects identified as motorized: \$69,784 vs. \$67,320. Since 2002, the per-project average costs have changed slightly for nonmotorized projects (up from \$68,884) and significantly for motorized projects (up from \$60,666), reducing the spread between them from \$8,218 to \$2,464.

## RTP Database Nonmotorized Trail Project Funding 1993-2003

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
AK	6	52	\$837,243	\$1,171,372
AL	20	74	\$3,424,192	\$629,968
AR	32	130	\$4,586,081	\$2,110,567
AZ	0	6	\$287,381	\$329,885
CA	0	92	\$6,542,719	\$4,943,077
CO	25	95	\$4,678,150	\$12,720,237
CT	10	130	\$2,438,783	\$1,457,479
DC	2	12	\$2,284,402	\$579,575
DE	0	14	\$559,750	\$212,500
FL	0	73	\$4,336,585	\$3,773,746
GA	12	72	\$5,837,242	\$4,081,605
HI	0	173	not reported	not reported
IA	1	30	\$2,973,281	\$2,228,900
ID	21	145	\$2,746,000	\$8,847,305
IL	16	74	\$5,028,079	\$1,782,112
IN	3	30	\$2,909,956	\$1,597,281
KS	10	123	\$4,139,425	\$3,691,630
KY	9	153	\$3,666,252	\$4,597,355
LA	0	65	\$2,556,558	\$772,562
MA	2	28	\$427,793	\$350,413
MD	4	94	\$2,089,476	\$2,224,476
ME	24	148	\$2,692,731	\$1,873,886
MI	10	82	\$6,872,120	\$3,938,650
MN	18	88	\$3,095,282	\$7,849,122
MO	6	71	\$3,729,693	\$6,949,079
MS	12	44	\$2,651,155	\$508,864
MT	12	66	\$721,046	\$449,415
NC	39	150	\$5,273,617	\$9,864,601
ND	13	93	\$2,228,148	\$622,579
NE	7	47	\$3,414,502	\$3,300,941
NH	9	181	\$1,760,421	\$2,111,681
NJ	35	246	\$2,814,810	\$3,655,336
NM	4	24	\$1,952,666	\$851,615
NV	23	71	\$2,451,814	\$1,538,017
NY	6	6	\$158,842	\$256,669
OH	15	113	\$6,856,189	\$6,666,962
OK	11	59	\$2,688,176	\$1,790,523
OR	2	49	\$1,630,419	\$3,774,644
PA	4	141	\$5,738,818	\$4,464,817
RI	8	59	\$1,717,115	\$3,446,991
SC	6	22	\$1,294,779	\$529,674
SD	13	55	\$1,883,053	\$901,026
TN	20	99	\$5,371,057	\$1,951,000
TX	24	157	\$7,174,496	\$2,924,983
UT	7	27	\$1,616,809	\$1,561,149
VA	13	88	\$4,633,537	\$1,842,759

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
VT	10	55	\$954,221	\$3,475,042
WI	18	145	\$2,894,378	\$3,137,042
WV	6	43	\$1,955,997	\$647,646
WY	14	77	\$2,082,292	\$1,423,579
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>562</b>	<b>4171</b>	<b>\$150,657,531</b>	<b>\$140,410,337</b>

### RTP Database Motorized Trail Project Funding 1993-2003

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
AK	5	46	\$799,240	\$909,757
AL	1	4	\$692,000	\$93,000
AR	2	11	\$576,986	\$163,180
AZ	1	3	\$224,423	\$196,940
CA	11	66	\$4,385,228	\$1,919,285
CO	14	62	\$1,490,801	\$968,568
CT	3	18	\$141,047	\$56,925
FL	3	10	\$989,607	\$389,807
GA	2	16	\$795,960	\$284,238
HI	0	76	not reported	not reported
IA	3	21	\$2,144,886	\$367,977
ID	13	112	\$2,401,486	\$8,129,664
IL	3	18	\$2,230,407	\$667,403
IN	1	8	\$1,160,759	\$248,185
KS	1	11	\$531,275	\$138,632
KY	0	17	\$345,665	\$261,950
LA	0	14	\$564,226	\$139,670
MA	2	24	\$631,788	\$365,078
MD	1	21	\$549,610	\$519,610
ME	13	90	\$1,803,552	\$1,053,552
MI	3	38	\$4,296,038	\$3,680,177
MN	16	72	\$2,581,915	\$4,979,167
MO	3	27	\$1,179,279	\$1,170,943
MS	0	8	\$733,500	\$124,500
MT	14	75	\$1,284,809	\$619,909
NC	5	29	\$1,171,122	\$465,941
ND	0	18	\$932,718	\$233,707
NE	0	3	\$159,456	\$64,401
NH	25	171	\$2,077,625	\$2,207,042
NJ	8	23	\$848,313	\$992,955
NM	0	2	\$16,405	\$16,405
NV	13	27	\$981,330	\$798,883
NY	6	6	\$223,783	\$182,328
OH	7	29	\$1,181,474	\$680,933
OK	3	11	\$414,096	\$154,637
OR	3	33	\$866,353	\$2,891,466
PA	3	75	\$2,327,320	\$1,711,917
RI	0	12	\$262,866	\$200,866

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	Total RTP Funding	Total Other Funding
SC	2	8	\$471,217	\$120,452
SD	9	21	\$596,046	\$228,809
TN	2	7	\$369,033	\$166,532
TX	2	21	\$3,142,291	\$1,671,106
UT	8	33	\$1,071,785	\$1,071,785
VA	1	11	\$390,320	\$102,272
VT	2	8	\$717,058	\$4,529,144
WI	6	70	\$1,804,896	\$1,831,021
WV	1	6	\$555,127	\$163,631
WY	11	72	\$2,554,261	\$1,685,438
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>1564</b>	<b>\$55,669,382</b>	<b>\$49,619,788</b>

A State is allowed to use up to 5% of its RTP funds for educational programs that promote trail-related safety and environmental protection. Only 243 such programs have been reported by 38 States. Those programs used \$6,953,758 in RTP funds and an additional \$11,811,783 in other funding, bringing the per-project average cost to \$77,224.

### RTP Database Education Project Funding 1993-2003

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
AK	2	14	\$201,495	\$266,219
AL	0	2	\$60,275	\$15,068
AZ	2	2	\$341,921	\$1,677,949
CA	3	11	\$1,128,386	\$434,218
CO	0	2	\$153,600	\$600,400
CT	1	9	\$68,671	\$72,069
FL	1	1	\$250,000	\$62,500
HI	0	1	\$449,770	\$112,442
IA	1	1	\$29,126	\$8,300
ID	0	5	\$101,174	\$149,736
IL	0	4	\$94,323	\$76,324
IN	0	2	\$249,530	\$30,820
KS	1	6	\$125,312	\$330,319
KY	0	2	\$10,000	\$10,000
MA	0	4	\$39,438	\$79,540
MD	1	14	\$160,841	\$160,841
MO	0	2	\$75,000	\$75,000
MT	8	24	\$340,654	\$184,609
NC	0	8	\$179,972	\$104,275
ND	2	2	\$9,840	\$2,460
NH	0	6	\$54,385	\$57,094
NJ	0	6	\$69,074	\$63,085
NV	2	8	\$159,937	\$369,716
NY	1	1	\$13,550	\$5,800
OH	0	5	\$186,567	\$782,865
OR	0	5	\$102,960	\$38,924
PA	2	8	\$235,400	\$407,175

State	2003 Projects	Total Projects	RTP Funding	Other Funding
SC	0	2	\$52,000	\$13,000
SD	1	3	\$19,460	\$6,985
TN	0	3	\$100,120	\$33,780
TX	0	9	\$246,540	\$100,025
UT	2	15	\$296,741	\$296,741
VA	0	8	\$368,679	\$118,560
VT	2	11	\$549,793	\$4,536,391
WA	6	28	\$237,680	\$417,633
WI	0	2	\$21,833	\$26,049
WV	0	1	\$22,400	\$11,200
WY	1	6	\$147,311	\$73,671
<b>Total</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>\$6,953,758</b>	<b>\$11,811,783</b>